From: Nancy Colman

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 10, 2018 9:38 PM

**To:** Holstine, Clay

**Cc:** Council Members; Alex Reisman

**Subject:** Re: Baylands Correspondence: Baylands General Plan Amendment

Thanks, Clay, for your email. It's great to live in a city where our government is so responsive and accessible to residents.

It's good to know that even if a General Plan Amendment is approved by the voters, the zoning for the Baylands won't change until a specific plan has been adopted by the Council. I assume, though it wasn't totally clear to me from your letter, that adoption of a binding Development Agreement would also be required before any change of zoning. That said, we think Brisbane needs to be vigilant in protecting both our own interests and those of potential future residents and businesses on the Baylands. It's difficult to know how much weight the assurances given by Senator Hill hold, given that his term ends in 2020 and he is just one state senator among many state legislators, and we believe that the developer has little regard for the public interest and will do what is most profitable.

Brisbane's vigilance should begin with the General Plan Amendment process. Assuming that this Amendment will have some actual power to define the limits of decisions by and responsibilities of this and future City Councils regarding Baylands development, the wording and import of this Amendment is very significant. We should take the time to make sure that we've done all the research needed to responsibly guide development decisions before drafting the General Plan Amendment for approval by the voters.

Thanks again for your consideration.

Nancy Colman & Alex Reisman